

REMARKS

In response to the restriction requirement made within the Office Action dated December 4, 2009, Applicant, through its representative and attorney, hereby provisionally elect, **with traverse**, the invention of Group I, claim 1, for further prosecution.

The Office Action states that the inventions I and II can operate independent from one another since the illuminating device in invention I can work without the cellular phone of Invention II and visa (sic) versa. Claim 2 of invention II has been amended to be dependent on claim 1 so that the invention II can work solely with the invention I. Two or more claimed subcombinations, disclosed as usable together in a single combination, and which can not be shown to be separately usable, are **not** restrictable. See MPEP §806.05(d). Withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement is respectfully requested.

Please note that Applicant reserves the right to file divisional applications covering the subject matter of the non-elected Group. Applicant also reserves the right to rejoin any applicable non-elected Group.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 18-0013, under Order No. KAK-0019 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: December 22, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Brian K. Dutton

Registration No.: 47,255

RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC

Correspondence Customer Number: 23353

Attorney for Applicant